

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report  
For CDRU # 7404 Case file # 95-229824.

Material Examiner: Malone (RQ)

Remarks:

Case resulted in guilty plea, no testimony transcript.

CRM - 15218

# INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: Steve Robertson

Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fiber

Review commenced at: 10:15 AM (Time), 10/24/02 (Date)

File #: 95-229824

Laboratory #(s): 90404060  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

## Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed	Reviewed	Not Reviewed
RQ	X <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

## Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: guilty plea, no testimony

Testimony Date(s): \_\_\_\_\_ Pages: \_\_\_\_\_

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 90404060 Date: Aug. 14, 1979  
Laboratory Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Laboratory Number: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ and unidentified technician

Laboratory Number: 90404060  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Initials: SR

CRM - 15219

Was any other material reviewed?  Yes  No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: submitting agency letter dated March 22, 1979

### Results of Review

File #: 95-2229824

Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q1-Q2, K1

#### Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

**Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses**

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?  
 Yes  No  Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?  
 Yes  No  Unable to Determine

#### Review of Testimony:

**Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses**

Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)?  Yes  No  Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes?  Yes  No  Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise?  Yes  No  Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 3

Initials: SM

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.  
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 95-229824

#1. It cannot be determined from the notes if the tests were performed in a scientifically acceptable manner.

#2. The results are not adequately documented in the notes. The notes are not dated or initialed and are in pencil instead of ink. The examiner documents the presence of spiral elements in K1 and Q1, but notes these are absent in Q2. Therefore, there is no documentation of the observed characteristics that allowed the examiner to determine that the Q2 fibers are sisal. The examiner also notes the presence of fibers in Q2 that are dissimilar to K1 but does not report this difference.

Review completed at: 10:45 AM (Time), 10/24/02 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 0.5 hrs.

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 3 pages.

*Steve Roberts*  
(Signature)

Oct. 24, 2002  
(Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials: *SR*